

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/822,349 04/02/2001 Yasuhisa Fujiwara 108198 1734 **EXAMINER** · 25944 7590 12/01/2005 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC MOONEYHAM, JANICE A P.O. BOX 19928 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

3629

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/822,349	FUJIWARA, YASUHISA	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Janice A. Mooneyham	3629	

	Janice A. Mooneyham	3629		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compart following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	of Appeal. To avoid ab offidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILED	OWT NIHTIW C	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in before appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NC ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1			(PTOL-324).	
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment the non-allowable claim(s). 				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		JOHN G. WEISS	-	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14 and 18-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	SUPE	John G. Weiss Rvisory Patent EX/ Chnology Center (-MANIOTI	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:				
see below. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:				

The applicant has amended the claims to provide for the limitation that the information regarding the registered member is stored in the memory prior to receiving the member ID. The Examiner will need to review the prior art in view of the newly added limitation and/or perform a new search. All arguments are directed to the newly added limitations.